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## Background

## The Electron Correlation Problem

## In a nutshell. . .

- Schrödinger's Equation (time-independent, fixed nuclei, non-relativistic)

$$
\hat{\mathbf{H}} \Psi=E \Psi
$$

It is an elliptic PDE with $3 n$ independent variables ( $n \approx 1000$ electrons)

```
Kato (1957), Hill (1985), Fournais et al. (2005), . . 
```

- We are interested in the lowest few eigenvalues (energies) $E$
- We usually split the energy into "mean-field" and "non-mean-field" parts

$$
E=E_{\mathrm{HF}}+E_{c}
$$

(Easy) $E_{\mathrm{HF}}=\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}\right| \hat{\mathbf{H}}\left|\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}\right\rangle /\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}} \mid \Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}\right\rangle$ where $\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}$ is separable
(Hard) $E_{c}$ can be approximated in a variety of complicated ways

## The Electron Correlation Problem

## Correlation made simple!

- Studies find that $E_{c}[\rho]$ depends strongly on the domain dimensionality
- Studies find that $E_{c}[\rho]$ depends weakly on the external potential
- Wigner, Trans Faraday Soc 34 (1938) 678
- Kohn \& Sham, Phys Rev 140 (1965) A1133
- Pople \& Binkley, Mol Phys 29 (1975) 599
- Fournais et al., Commun Math Phys 255 (2005) 183
- Loos \& Gill, Phys Rev Lett 105 (2010) 113001
- $E_{c}[\rho]$ in complicated potentials is similar to $E_{c}[\rho]$ in simple potentials
$\therefore$ We can learn about $E_{c}$ by studying electrons in simple potentials!
- So, what super-simple potential will we choose for our electrons... ?


## Electrons on a sphere

## The Hamiltonian

- The Hamiltonian operator has only two types of term

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{H}} & =\hat{\mathbf{T}}+\hat{\mathbf{V}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If the radius of the sphere is $R$, then

The kinetic energy operator $\hat{\mathbf{T}} \propto 1 / R^{2} \quad$ separable
The potential energy operator $\hat{\mathbf{V}} \propto 1 / R \quad$ non-separable

- These different behaviours suggest two perturbative approaches ...


## Electrons on a sphere

## Perturbative approaches

$$
\hat{\mathbf{H}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j}^{n} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}
$$

- $R \ll 1$ : the high-density regime
- $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$ dominates $\hat{\mathbf{V}}$. We say that the electrons are weakly correlated
- Good starting point is a separable wavefunction
- $R \gg 1$ : the low-density regime
- $\hat{\mathbf{V}}$ dominates $\hat{\mathbf{T}}$. We say that the electrons are strongly correlated
- Good starting point is a localized wavefunction


## Electrons on a sphere

What spheres am I considering?

1-sphere


Ring

$$
\left(n_{\alpha}, n_{\beta}\right) \text {-ringium }
$$

2-sphere

Normal sphere
$\left(n_{\alpha}, n_{\beta}\right)$-spherium


3-sphere

Glome

## Electrons on a sphere

But, because time is short today...
2-sphere


## Normal sphere

$$
\left(n_{\alpha}, n_{\beta}\right) \text {-spherium }
$$

## Orbitals on a 2-sphere



## Wavefunctions \& Energies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{H}}=-\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2} \\
\Psi_{\ell m}=Y_{\ell m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \\
E_{\ell m}=\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2 R^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Orbital energies on a 2-sphere



## (1,1)-spherium

## Hartree-Fock ground state for (1,1)-spherium



## HF wavefunction \& energy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{H}}=-\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\nabla_{2}^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|} \\
\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}=Y_{00}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) Y_{00}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \\
E_{\mathrm{HF}}=\frac{0}{R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

HF for (1,1)-spherium


## Exact ground state for $(1,1)$-spherium



Wavefunction \& Energy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{H}}=-\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\nabla_{2}^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|} \\
\psi=? ? ? \\
E=? ? ?
\end{gathered}
$$

## Exact ground state for ( 1,1 )-spherium

## Solving the Schrödinger equation

- Changing variables to the reduced inter-electronic distance $x=\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right| /(2 R)$ and separating the Schrödinger equation yields, for ${ }^{1} S$ states, the Heun ODE

$$
\left[x^{2}-1\right] \frac{d^{2} \psi}{d x^{2}}+\left[3 x-\frac{1}{x}\right] \frac{d \psi}{d x}+\frac{2 R}{x} \psi=4 R^{2} \epsilon \psi
$$

- This has polynomial solutions for particular $R$ values, e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
R=\sqrt{3 / 4} & \epsilon=1 & \psi=1+\sqrt{3} x \\
R=\sqrt{7} & \epsilon=2 / 7 & \psi=1+\sqrt{28} x+5 x^{2}
\end{array}
$$

- There are a countably infinite number of such closed-form solutions


## Exact excited states for (1,1)-spherium

## Solving the Schrödinger equation

- Changing variables to the reduced inter-electronic distance $x=\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right| /(2 R)$ and separating the Schrödinger equation yields, for ${ }^{3} P$ states, the Heun ODE

$$
\left[x^{2}-1\right] \frac{d^{2} \psi}{d x^{2}}+\left[5 x-\frac{3}{x}\right] \frac{d \psi}{d x}+\frac{2 R}{x} \psi=4 R^{2} \epsilon \psi
$$

- This has polynomial solutions for particular $R$ values, e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
R=\sqrt{15 / 4} & \epsilon=1 / 3 & \psi=1+\sqrt{5 / 3} x \\
R=\sqrt{23} & \epsilon=3 / 23 & \psi=1+2 \sqrt{23} / 3 x+7 / 3 x^{2}
\end{array}
$$

- There are a countably infinite number of such closed-form solutions


## Two electrons on a sphere

## Extensions

- The same approach works for states of other symmetry

For example, ${ }^{1} P,{ }^{1} D,{ }^{3} D,{ }^{1} F,{ }^{3} F$, etc.

- The same approach works for spheres of other dimension

For example, ( 1,1 )-ringium, (1,1)-glomium, etc.

- In all cases, exact polynomial solutions exist for certain $R$
- In some cases, exact irrational solutions exist for certain $R$
- These solutions provide benchmarks for approximate methods


## Two electrons on a sphere

## Two Electrons on a Hypersphere: A Quasiexactly Solvable Model

## Pierre-François Loos and Peter M. W. Gill

Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 0200, Australia (Received 5 July 2009; published 18 September 2009)
We show that the exact wave function for two electrons, interacting through a Coulomb potential but constrained to remain on the surface of a $\mathcal{D}$-sphere ( $\mathcal{D} \geq 1$ ), is a polynomial in the interelectronic distance $u$ for a countably infinite set of values of the radius $R$. A selection of these radii and the associated energies are reported for ground and excited states on the singlet and triplet manifolds. We conclude that the $\mathcal{D}=3$ model bears the greatest similarity to normal physical systems.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.123008
PACS numbers: 31.15.ac, 31.15.ve, 31.15.vj

Quantum mechanical models for which it is possible to solve explicitly for a finite portion of the energy spectrum are said to be quasiexactly solvable [1]. They have ongoing value and are useful both for illuminating more complicated systems and for testing and developing theoretical approaches, such as density-functional theory (DFT) [2-4] and explicitly correlated methods [5-8]. One of the most famous two-body models is the Hooke's law atom, which consists of a pair of electrons repelling Coulombically but trapped in a harmonic external potential with force constant $k$. This system was first considered nearly 50 years ago by Kestner and Sinanoglu [9], solved analytically in

The electronic Hamiltonian, in atomic units, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=-\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\nabla_{2}^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{u}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, because each electron moves on a $\mathcal{D}$-sphere, it is natural to adopt hyperspherical coordinates [21,22].

For ${ }^{1} S$ states, it can be then shown [19] that the wave

$$
\text { function } S(u) \text { satisfies the Schrödinger equation }
$$

$\left[\frac{u^{2}}{4 R^{2}}-1\right] \frac{d^{2} S}{d u^{2}}+\left[\frac{(2 \mathcal{D}-1) u}{4 R^{2}}-\frac{\mathcal{D}-1}{u}\right] \frac{d S}{d u}+\frac{S}{u}=E S$.

## Two electrons on a sphere

## INVITED ARTICLE

## Excited states of spherium

Pierre-François Loos and Peter M.W. Gill ${ }^{*}$
Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Australian Capital Territory 0200, Canberra, Australia (Received 21 April 2010; final version received 8 July 2010)

We report analytic solutions of a recently discovered quasi-exactly solvable model consisting of two electrons, interacting via a Coulomb potential, but restricted to remain on the surface of a $\mathcal{D}$-dimensional sphere Polynomial solutions are found for the ground state, and for some higher ( $L \leq 3$ ) states. Kato cusp condition and interdimensional degeneracies are discussed.

Keywords: exact solution; excited states; spherium; cusp condition; interdimensional degeneracies

## 1. Introduction

A quasi-exactly solvable model is one for which it is possible to solve the Schrödinger equation exactly for a finite portion of the energy spectrum [1]. In quantum chemistry, a famous example of this is the Hooke's law atom [2-5], which consists of a pair of electrons, repelling Coulombically but trapped in a harmonic external potential. This model and others [6-12] have been used extensively to test various approximations [13-20] within density functional theory (DFT) [21-23] and explicitly correlated methods [24-28].
2. Wave function

The Hamiltonian of $\mathcal{D}$-spherium is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{1}^{2}+\nabla_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{u}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two first terms represent the kinetic contribution of each electron, and $u^{-1}$ is the Coulomb operator.

Following Breit [38], we write the total wave function as the product

$$
\Phi\left(\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{2}\right\}, u\right)=\Xi\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \chi\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{2}\right) \Psi(u)
$$



## Two electrons on a sphere

## Exact Wave Functions of Two-Electron Quantum Rings

> Pierre-François Loos* and Peter M. W. Gill ${ }^{\dagger}$
> Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia (Received 6 December 2011; published 23 February 2012)

We demonstrate that the Schrödinger equation for two electrons on a ring, which is the usual paradigm to model quantum rings, is solvable in closed form for particular values of the radius. We show that both polynomial and irrational solutions can be found for any value of the angular momentum and that the singlet and triplet manifolds, which are degenerate, have distinct geometric phases. We also study the nodal structure associated with these two-electron states.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.083002

Introduction.-Like quantum dots [1], quantum rings QR) are self-organized nanometric semiconductors and are intensively studied experimentally due to their rich electronic, magnetic, and optical properties [2-7], such as the Aharonov-Bohm effect [8-10]
Many-electron QRs have been investigated theoretically using various methods, such as model Hamiltonian [11-13], exact diagonalization $[14,15]$, quantum Monte Carlo calculations [15,16], and density-functional theory $[17-20]$. Accurate numerical calculations on two-electron QRs have been reported in Ref. [21].
Quantum rings are usually modeled by electrons confined to a strict- or quasi-one-dimensional circular space interacting via a short-ranged or Coulomb operator. In this

PACS numbers: 31.15.ac, 31.15.ve, 31.15.vj, 73.21.La

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=R \sqrt{2-2 \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the interelectronic distance [32]. In one dimension, the singlet and triplet manifolds are degenerate [33], and this allows us to focus primarily on the singlets.

Hartree-Fock solution.-Within the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation [35], the ground-state wave function is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}(u)=u \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a node at $u=0$, and the energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\mathrm{HF}}=\frac{1}{4 R^{2}}+\frac{2}{\pi R} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## More than two electrons on a sphere

## The Three-Body Problem

- Unfortunately, we find no such exact solutions for three electrons
- The Hamiltonian is

$$
\hat{\mathbf{H}}=-\frac{\nabla_{1}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\nabla_{2}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\nabla_{3}^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|}+\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{3}\right|}+\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{3}\right|}
$$

but our attempts to find a helpful change of variables have failed

- Can it be proven that no polynomial or irrational solutions exist?
- $\left(n_{\alpha}, n_{\beta}\right)$-spherium with $n_{\alpha}, n_{\beta}>1$ is nonetheless interesting
- Many such systems are finite uniform electron gases (FUEGs)
- Time is short, so I will discuss only $\left(n_{\alpha}, 0\right)$-spherium today...


## (n,0)-spherium

## Hartree-Fock for ( $n, 0$ )-spherium

## Finite Uniform Electron Gases (FUEGs)

- We are particularly interested in systems with uniform densities
- We therefore focus on systems with (half-) filled shells
- To fill all orbitals with $\ell \leq h$, we require $n=(h+1)^{2}$ electrons
- Thus, we consider ( 1,0 )-, ( 4,0 )-, ( 9,0 )-, ( 16,0 )-spherium, etc.


## (4,0)-spherium

HF occupied orbitals ( $h=1$ )


## HF wavefunction \& energy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{H}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \nabla_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j}^{4} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|} \\
\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}=\operatorname{det}\left[Y_{\ell m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)\right]_{4 \times 4} \\
E_{\mathrm{HF}}=\frac{3}{4 R^{2}}+\frac{11}{10 R}
\end{gathered}
$$

## (9,0)-spherium

HF occupied orbitals ( $h=2$ )


## HF wavefunction \& energy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{H}=}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{9} \nabla_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j}^{9} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|} \\
\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}=\operatorname{det}\left[Y_{\ell m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)\right]_{9 \times 9} \\
E_{\mathrm{HF}}=\frac{2}{R^{2}}+\frac{1004}{315 R}
\end{gathered}
$$

## (16,0)-spherium

HF occupied orbitals ( $h=3$ )


## HF wavefunction \& energy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathbf{H}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{16} \nabla_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j}^{16} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|} \\
\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}=\operatorname{det}\left[Y_{\ell m}\left(\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)\right]_{16 \times 16} \\
E_{\mathrm{HF}}=\frac{15}{4 R^{2}}+\frac{37657}{6006 R}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Configuration Interaction for ( $n, 0$ )-spherium

## Approximating the exact many-electron wavefunction

- Computing the Hartree-Fock energy $E_{\mathrm{HF}}$ is easy for any $h$
- But how can we estimate the correlation energy $E_{c}$ ?
- Obvious approach is to admix all possible substituted determinants

$$
\Psi=\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}+\sum_{\substack{r \in \text { virt } \\ a \in o c c}} c_{a}^{r} \Psi_{a}^{r}+\sum_{\substack{r s \in \text { virt } \\ a b \in o c c}} c_{a b}^{r s} \Psi_{a b}^{r s}+\sum_{\substack{r s t \in v i r t \\ a b c \in o c c}} c_{a b c}^{r s t} \Psi_{a b c}^{r s t}+\ldots
$$

- None of the $\Psi_{a}^{r}$ determinants mix with $\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}$ so simplest approximation is

$$
\Psi_{\mathrm{CID}}=\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}+\sum_{\substack{r s \in v i r t \\ a b \in o c c}} c_{a b}^{r s} \Psi_{a b}^{r s}
$$

- However, the number of $\Psi_{a b}^{r s}$ determinants can be impractically large...


## CID for ( 9,0 )-spherium using orbitals up to $L=4$

## Consider $p d \rightarrow f g$ double substitutions



The determinant explosion

- $3 \times 5=15 p d$ choices
$7 \times 9=63 \mathrm{fg}$ choices
- $15 \times 63=945$ determinants (!)

Conserve $M=m_{1}+m_{2} \Rightarrow 97$ dets
There are too many determinants

- Are we fully exploiting symmetry? Definitely not. But who can help us?


## Two Masters of Invariants



Alfred Clebsch (1833-1872)


Paul Gordan (1837-1912)

## The Key Solution

## Consider pd $\rightarrow f g$ double substitutions



## Clebsch-Gordan invariants

- $P \otimes D=P \oplus D \oplus F$ $3 \times 5=3+5+7$
- $F \otimes G=P \oplus D \oplus F \oplus G \oplus H \oplus I \oplus K$
$7 \times 9=3+5+7+9+11+13+15$
- CSFs? $P \leftrightarrow P, D \leftrightarrow D$ and $F \leftrightarrow F$
- Adds only 3 terms to Cl expansion
- Now we are exploiting symmetry!


## Cheap Cl calculations

## Size of the CI matrices for (4,0)-spherium

| L | CISD |  | CISDT |  | CISDTQ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Determinants | CSFs | Determinants | CSFs | Determinants | CSFs |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 61 | 2 | 41 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| 3 | 397 | 4 | 881 | 9 | 496 | 11 |
| 4 | 1261 | 6 | 5321 | 19 | 5986 | 29 |
| 5 | 2977 | 8 | 19841 | 35 | 35961 | 66 |
| 6 | 5941 | 10 | 56761 | 57 | 148996 | 136 |
| 7 | 10621 | 12 | 136881 | 87 | 487636 | 257 |
| 8 | 17557 | 14 | 292601 | 125 | 1353276 | 450 |
| 9 | 27361 | 16 | 571521 | 173 | 3321961 | 751 |
| 10 | 40717 | 18 | 1040521 | 231 | 7413706 | 1193 |
| 11 | 58381 | 20 | 1790321 | 301 | 15329616 | 1824 |
| 12 | 81181 | 22 | 2940521 | 383 | 29772766 | 2701 |
| 13 | 110017 | 24 | 4645121 | 479 | 54870481 | 3889 |
| 14 | 145861 | 26 | 7098521 | 589 | 96717336 | 5460 |

## Cheap Cl calculations

## Size of the CI matrices for ( 9,0 )-spherium

| L | CISD |  | CISDT |  | CISDTQ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Determinants | CSFs | Determinants | CSFs | Determinants | CSFs |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 757 | 4 | 2941 | 12 | 4411 | 21 |
| 4 | 4321 | 11 | 47041 | 71 | 229321 | 277 |
| 5 | 12637 | 20 | 245701 | 209 | 2211301 | 1410 |
| 6 | 28081 | 30 | 829921 | 466 | 11515141 | 4651 |
| 7 | 53461 | 40 | 2203741 | 859 | 42972931 | 11859 |
| 8 | 92017 | 50 | 5009761 | 1428 | 129627541 | 25690 |
| 9 | 147421 | 60 | 10204741 | 2178 | 336756421 | 49602 |
| 10 | 223777 | 70 | 19145281 | 3151 | 782563321 | 88065 |
| 11 | 325621 | 80 | 33683581 | 4345 | 1667337211 | 146536 |
| 12 | 457921 | 90 | 56273281 | 5806 | 3313089361 | 231704 |
| 13 | 626077 | 100 | 90085381 | 7528 | 6215891221 | 351437 |
| 14 | 835921 | 110 | 139134241 | 9561 | 11113347421 | 515057 |
| 15 | 1093717 | 120 | 208413661 | 11895 | 19069849891 | 733260 |

## Cheap CISD calculations

## Reduced CISD correlation energies ( $m E_{h}$ ) for $r_{s}=1$

| $L$ | $N$ | $(4,0)$ | $(9,0)$ | $(16,0)$ | $(25,0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 25 | -13.077115 | -14.438486 | -8.806632 | -0.000000 |
| 8 | 81 | -13.289706 | -17.119196 | -17.973776 | -16.775979 |
| 16 | 289 | -13.306860 | -17.254243 | -18.586734 | -18.819193 |
| 32 | 1089 | -13.308117 | -17.262700 | -18.617592 | -18.903864 |
| 64 | 4225 | -13.308203 | -17.263243 | -18.619453 | -18.908623 |
| 128 | 16641 | -13.308208 | -17.263277 | -18.619569 | -18.908912 |
| 256 | 66049 | -13.308209 | -17.263280 | -18.619576 | -18.908930 |
| 512 | 263169 | -13.308209 | -17.263280 | -18.619577 | -18.908931 |
| 1024 | 1050625 | -13.308209 | -17.263280 | -18.619577 | -18.908931 |
| $\infty$ | $\infty$ | -13.308209 | -17.263280 | -18.619577 | -18.908931 |

## Concluding Remarks

## In a nutshell . . .

- Electrons confined to $\mathcal{D}$-spheres often form finite uniform electron gases
- The Schrödinger eqn is exactly solvable for two electrons on a $\mathcal{D}$-sphere Many of the exact wavefunctions are polynomials in $\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|$
These solutions are useful benchmarks for approximate methods
- For many electrons on a sphere, $\Psi_{\mathrm{HF}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{HF}}$ are easy to compute

The use of Configuration State Functions permits huge CID calculations

## Low-density electrons on a 3-sphere

## Uniform electron gases. III. Low-density gases on three-dimensional spheres

Davids Agboola, Anneke L. Knol, Peter M. W. Gill, ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ and Pierre-François Loos ${ }^{\text {b) }}$ Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia

(Received 23 June 2015; accepted 10 August 2015; published online 25 August 2015)

By combining variational Monte Carlo (VMC) and complete-basis-set limit Hartree-Fock (HF) calculations, we have obtained near-exact correlation energies for low-density same-spin electrons on a three-dimensional sphere (3-sphere), i.e., the surface of a four-dimensional ball. In the VMC calculations, we compare the efficacies of two types of one-electron basis functions for these strongly correlated systems and analyze the energy convergence with respect to the quality of the Jastrow factor. The HF calculations employ spherical Gaussian functions (SGFs) which are the curved-space analogs of Cartesian Gaussian functions. At low densities, the electrons become relatively localized into Wigner crystals, and the natural SGF centers are found by solving the Thomson problem (i.e., the minimum-energy arrangement of $n$ point charges) on the 3 -sphere for various values of $n$. We have found 11 special values of $n$ whose Thomson sites are equivalent. Three of these are the vertices of four-dimensional Platonic solids - the hyper-tetrahedron ( $n=5$ ), the hyper-octahedron ( $n=8$ ), and the 24-cell $(n=24)$ - and a fourth is a highly symmetric structure ( $n=13$ ) which has not previously been reported. By calculating the harmonic frequencies of the electrons around their equilibrium positions, we also find the first-order vibrational corrections to the Thomson energy. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4929353]

