

# **OVERVIEW OF A NEW DENSITY-BASED BASIS-SET** CORRECTION FOR WAVE FUNCTION THEORY



E.Giner<sup>*a*</sup>, D. Traoré<sup>*a*</sup>, J.Toulouse<sup>*a*</sup> <sup>*a*</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, Sorbonne Université-CNRS, Paris, France

#### The main origin of basis-set error

► We know since Kato's work[4] that all exact wave func**tions** exhibit a **cusp** at the electron **coalescence point**:

 $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, r_{12}) = \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, 0) \left( 1 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{12}}_{\mathbf{Cusp}} + \dots \right)$ 

#### An alternative point of view

- ► DFT main features:
  - Energy only **need one- and two-body objects**
  - **DFT**: approximate the two-body density with one-body objects

$$n_2(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \underbrace{n(\mathbf{r}_1)n(\mathbf{r}_2)}_{\text{System dependent}} + \underbrace{n_{\text{xc}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, n, \nabla n, \dots)}_{\text{quite universal}}$$

**—LDA:**  $n_{xc}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$  is taken from the electron gas

**DFT** formalism for basis-set incompleteness

— Rewrite Levy-Lieb functional with  $\Psi^{\mathcal{B}}$ : wave function in a basis set  $\mathcal{B}$ 

$$E = \min_{n(\mathbf{r})} \left\{ (v_{ne}|n) + \min_{\Psi^{\mathcal{B}} \to n(\mathbf{r})} \langle \Psi^{\mathcal{B}} | \hat{T} + \hat{W}_{ee} | \Psi^{\mathcal{B}} \rangle + \underbrace{\bar{E}^{\mathcal{B}}[n(\mathbf{r})]}_{\text{what is missing in } \mathcal{B}} \right\}$$
(1)

$$\lim_{\mathcal{B} \text{ is complete}} \bar{E}^{\mathcal{B}}[n(\mathbf{r})] = 0$$



FIGURE 1 – Exact and approximated wave functions for the Helium atom.

Origin: the **divergence of the coulomb operator** • Use an **incomplete** basis set  $\mathcal{B}$ :

— no cusp in the wave function  $\Psi^{\mathcal{B}}$ 

 $\Psi^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}_1, r_{12}) = \Psi^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}_1, 0) (1 + \underbrace{\mathbf{0} \times \mathbf{r}_{12}}_{\text{no cusp !}} + \dots)$ 

— Bad description of short-range correlation ( $r_{12} \approx 0$ ).

— Impact the total energies and energy differences.

 $-r_{12} \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Cusp region} \Leftrightarrow \text{universal}!$ —Large  $r_{12} \Leftrightarrow$  very system dependent ...

► **Range-separated DFT**[3]: (RS-DFT) A rigorous framework for mixing WFT/DFT

21

— WFT: deals with a **non-divergent** interaction

$$p^{\mathrm{lr},\mu}(r_{12}) = \frac{\mathrm{erf}(\mu \, r_{12})}{r_{12}}$$

— **non-divergent** interaction: **no cusp**  $\Rightarrow$  **Faster convergence** with the basis set[5] **— Tunable parameter**  $\mu$ 



- **DFT**: deals with the **divergent part**  $\Rightarrow$  captures the **universal correlation effects** -Looks-like complementary with WFT
- ► Using RS-DFT for basis set error
  - 1. Formalism to **mix basis-set and DFT**
  - 2. Finite basis set  $\Leftrightarrow$  non-divergent interaction  $\Rightarrow$  define an **effective interaction** in  $\mathcal{B}$
  - 3. Fit the effective interaction with  $\mu(\mathbf{r})$  $\Rightarrow$  Use RS-DFT for the basis set error !!

- $-\bar{E}^{\mathcal{B}}[n(\mathbf{r})]$  is a functional of the **density**
- —Non self consistent approximation: Estimating (1) at the FCI density within  $\mathcal{B} n_{\text{FCI}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r})$

$$E \approx E_{\text{FCI}}^{\mathcal{B}} + \bar{E}^{\mathcal{B}}[n_{\text{FCI}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r})]$$
(2)

## Definition of an effective interaction within $\mathcal{B}$ [1

—Write with  $W_{ee}^{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{mnpq} a_m^{\dagger} a_n^{\dagger} a_q a_p V_{mn}^{pq}$  in real space —Write  $\langle \Psi^{\mathcal{B}} | W_{ee} | \Psi^{\mathcal{B}} \rangle$ , do quite some math and get to

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \Psi^{\mathcal{B}} | W_{ee} | \Psi^{\mathcal{B}} 
angle = \int \mathbf{d} \mathbf{r}_1 \mathbf{d} \mathbf{r}_2 W^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) n_2^{\Psi^{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \ &W^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = rac{\sum \phi_i(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}_2) V_{ij}^{kl} \Gamma_{kl}^{mn} \phi_m(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_m(\mathbf{r}_2)}{n_2^{\Psi^{\mathcal{B}}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)} \end{aligned}$$

-  $W^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ : effective interaction within  $\mathcal{B}$ — is **non-divergent** and **not isotropic** —tends to  $1/r_{12}$  when  $\mathcal{B}$  is complete —Link with SR-DFT: -Fit  $W^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2})$  with  $erf(\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r}_{1})r_{12})/r_{12}$ — Use RS-DFT correlation functionals with  $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r})$ 

 $\bar{E}^{\mathcal{B}}[n(\mathbf{r})] \approx \bar{E}_{c, \text{ md}}^{\text{RS-DFT}}[n(\mathbf{r}), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r})]$ 

—Good asymptotic limits:

# ► Example: $\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r})$ for He [1]



## Numerical tests on the G2 test with CCSD(T) [2]

### For weakly correlated systems:

- -CCSD(T) energy is a good approximation of  $E_{FCI}^{\mathcal{B}}$
- —HF density is a good approximation of  $n_{\text{FCI}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{r})$
- Basis set correction using only **HF one-body density**
- Using a kind of **PBE** approximation for DFT
- Very cheap compared to CC calculation



TABLE 1 – Errors in kcal/mol of the G2 atomization energies.

Method MAD MAX CA

## **Recent developments of the formalism**

- Correct some basis effects of **GW methods** [3]
- —First attempts on excited states [4]
- Treatment of strongly correlated systems [5]
  - Functional depending on the on top pair density,
  - Allows to properly break multiple bonds (ex:  $N_2$ ).
  - Size consistent and  $S_z$  invariant functional
  - First tests on transition metals oxides [6]
- Fully variational formalism: change  $\psi^{\mathcal{B}}[7]$ — Functional derivative  $\frac{\delta \bar{E}^{\mathcal{B}}[n(\mathbf{r})]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})} \Leftrightarrow$  effective potential
  - Obtain self-consistent Schroedinger-like equations
  - Change  $\psi^{\mathcal{B}}$  and therefore the properties
  - Improves convergence of dipole moments





—FCI + DFT correction (LDA)



CCSD(T)/cc-pVDZ	14.29 36.95	2	
CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ	6.06 14.25	2	
CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ	2.50 6.75	9	
CCSD(T)/cc-pV5Z	1.28 3.46	21	

CCSD(T)+PBE/cc-pVDZ 1.96 2.59 7.33 19 CCSD(T)+PBE/cc-pVTZ 0.85 1.11 2.64 36 CCSD(T)+PBE/cc-pVQZ 0.31 0.42 1.16 53

- Systematically improves the energy difference — Extremely cheap compared to CCSD(T) calculations — It is **cheap** compared to other basis-set corrections  $\Rightarrow$  Relies on DFT not on F12
- Sub kcal/mol accuracy with cc-pVTZ basis set

[2] Loos, P.F.; Pradines, B.; Scemama, A.; Toulouse, J.; Giner, E.; J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2019.

[1] Giner, E.; Pradines, B.; Ferté, A.; Assaraf, R.; Savin, A.; Toulouse, J.; J. Chem. Phys. 2018.